



## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### BACKGROUND

<b>Date of Assessment:</b> (dd/mm/yyyy)	<b>09/03/2022</b>
<b>Title of document being assessed:</b>	Supported Accommodation Review
<p><b>1. This is a new policy, procedure, strategy or practice being assessed.</b> (If <b>Yes</b> please check box) <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><b>This is a new budget saving proposal</b> (If <b>Yes</b> please check box) <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><b>This is an existing policy, procedure, strategy or practice being assessed?</b> (If <b>Yes</b> please check box) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p><b>This is an existing budget saving proposal being reviewed</b> (If <b>Yes</b> please check box) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
<b>2. Please give details of the Lead Officer and the group responsible for considering the Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)</b>	Jillian Richmond - Service Leader, Accommodation and Home Care
<b>3. Please give a brief description of the policy, procedure, strategy or practice being assessed, including its aims and objectives, actions and processes.</b>	<p>In 2019, the Angus Health and Social Care Partnership planned to move to a model of providing full personal care and support in the supported housing complexes at St Drostan's Court, Brechin, and Provost Johnston Road, Montrose, as an on-site provider. This approach was based on predicting a continued high number of older people in these two complexes. In the last eighteen months, however, the balance of provision in the supported housing complexes has changed with more tenants having learning or physical disabilities and fewer older people. People with learning or physical disabilities usually have well-established care packages provided by external providers and do not wish to change their provider when they enter their tenancies. This has meant that our on-site model is no longer viable as the housing complexes do not have enough older people to make the model work, both financially and in terms of ensuring that staff are effectively utilised.</p> <p>An options appraisal was carried out and presented at the Angus Care Model group. The options appraisal considered a number of variables and identified four potential models of care. The main objective of each option was</p>

	<p>to ensure a sustainable and efficient service could be delivered both now and, in the future, considering the changing demographics of tenants in these supported accommodation facilities.</p>
<p><b>4. What are the intended outcomes of this policy, procedure, strategy or practice and who are the intended beneficiaries?</b></p>	<p>Due to low demand for the in-house personal care service in both St Drostan's and Provost Johnston Road, officers carried out an options appraisal to review potential future models of support at these complexes. Consultation then took place with tenants, staff, family members and other key stakeholders to identify the best option, informed by the consultation feedback.</p>
<p><b>5. Has any local consultation, improvement or research with protected characteristic communities informed the policy, procedure, strategy or practice being EQIA assessed here?</b></p> <p><b>If Yes, please give details.</b></p>	<p>Research has been conducted around the changes in demographics of those who take up tenancies in these supported accommodation complexes with a shift from those over 65 years of age to those under the age of 65. Those under the age of 65 tend to want to remain with their private provider for their care and support rather than select the in-house service for their personal care. This has resulted in a reduction in demand for the in-house personal care service at these two complexes. An options appraisal was undertaken reflecting care models, workforce and financial aspects.</p> <p>A stakeholder analysis was undertaken to identify all key stakeholders. This included tenants, family members and staff of the 2 supported accommodation complexes.</p> <p>A programme of consultation was developed, and methods of consultation were identified to meet the varying needs of different stakeholders.</p> <p>A suite of consultation materials was developed to support the consultation programme. These include a briefing paper providing background information and the rationale for the consultation and an options paper detailing all the options and the factors to be considered in identifying the impact of the options, which included a feedback form.</p> <p>The consultation process commenced on 6 December 2021 and remained open until 21 January 2022, allowing a period of 7 weeks for meaningful consultation to take place.</p> <p>The consultation programme will help to inform any future decision on which model of care should be offered at these supported accommodation complexes going forward to ensure it is both financially sustainable and an effective use of staffing resource. The supported accommodation complexes in scope are St Drostan's Court, Brechin and</p>

	<p>Provost Johnston Road, Montrose.</p> <p>The preferred option will be discussed at IJB on 20 April 2022.</p>
<p><b>6. Fairer Scotland duties:</b></p> <p><b>1) Does this report have an impact for Angus citizens under Fairer Scotland? No</b></p> <p><b>2) If Yes, what are these implications and how will they be addressed?</b></p>	<p>There are no negative implications for Angus citizens under Fairer Scotland duties.</p>

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EQIA) - RELEVANCE SCREENING

**1. Has the proposal already been assessed via an EQIA process for its impact on ALL of the protected characteristics of: age; disability; gender; gender re-assignment; pregnancy/maternity; marriage and civil partnership; race; religion and belief; and sexual orientation? Yes (EQIA of 05/09/2021)**

**1 a. Does the proposal have a potential to impact in ANY way on the public and/or service users holding any of the protected characteristics of age; disability; gender; gender re-assignment; pregnancy/maternity; marriage and civil partnership; race; religion and belief; and sexual orientation?**

**Yes** - Proceed to the Full Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA).

No - please state why not (specify which evidence was considered and what it says)?

**1 b. Does the proposal have a potential to impact in ANY way on employees holding any of the protected characteristics of age; disability; gender; gender re-assignment; pregnancy/maternity; marriage and civil partnership; race; religion and belief; and sexual orientation?** This applies to employees of not only NHS Tayside and Angus Council, but also the 3<sup>rd</sup> sector.

**Yes** - Proceed to the Full Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA).

No - please state why not (specify which evidence was considered and what it says)?

**2. Name:** Jillian Richmond

**Position:** Service Leader

Date: 09/03/2022



## FULL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EQIA)

### Step 1.

**Is there any reason to believe the proposal could affect people differently due to their protected characteristic?** Using evidence (e.g., statistics, literature, consultation results, etc.), justify whether yes or no. If yes, specify whether impact is likely to be positive or negative and what actions will be taken to mitigate against the undesired impact of a negative discrimination. When considering impact, please consider impact on: health related behaviour; social environment; physical environment; and access to & quality of services of NHS Tayside, Angus Council or 3<sup>rd</sup> sector social justice.

### 1a. The public and/or service users holding the Protected Characteristics:

	POSITIVE IMPACT	NEGATIVE IMPACT	Intended mitigating actions against the b) Negative Discrimination
	a)Positive Action	b)Negative discrimination	
<b>AGE</b>		Yes, there will be a negative impact as the service provided are for an age range of tenants between 31-95 years of age.	The welfare of the tenants affected is paramount, and they will remain at the centre of any plans going forward. We will aim to minimise impact and to support tenants, families, and staff as much as possible by approaching this in a planned way, taking into account their needs, and by ensuring that clear and consistent communication and engagement take place.
<b>GENDER</b>			
<b>DISABILITY</b>		Yes, there will be a negative impact as the service provided is for older people and those with disabilities. Some people affected will have dementia and may also have other physical or learning disabilities or long-term conditions.	The welfare of the tenants affected is paramount, and they will remain at the centre of any plans going forward. We will involve and engage with tenants, families and health professionals to ensure the health needs of the tenants are fully taken into account. Advocacy support will be offered to any affected tenants.
<b>ETHNICITY/ RACE</b>			
<b>SEXUAL</b>			

<b>ORIENTATION</b>			
<b>RELIGION/ BELIEF</b>			
<b>GENDER REASSIGNMENT</b>			
<b>PREGNANCY/ MATERNITY</b>			
<b>OTHER: CARERS OF OLDER AND/OR DISABLED PEOPLE</b> (Although carers are not considered as a PC in itself, they are protected by the Equality Act 2010 from “discrimination by association” with the PCs of age and disability)			

**1b. The employees holding the Protected Characteristics:**

	<b>POSITIVE IMPACT</b>	<b>NEGATIVE IMPACT</b>	<b>Intended mitigating actions against the b) Negative Discrimination</b>
	<b>a)Positive Action</b>	<b>b)Negative discrimination</b>	
<b>AGE</b>		Yes – there may be impact on staff as they fall within the ages of 40-62 years of age. There are 6 staff in scope.	Any decision made is likely to have a direct effect on some staff in relation to service changes and ways of working. Our desire is to avoid any compulsory redundancies and work will be ongoing to identify alternative employment options through the Council's Managing Workforce Change policy.
<b>GENDER</b>		Yes – the majority of the workforce who are in scope are female.	Any decision made is likely to have a direct effect on some staff in relation to service changes and ways of working. Our desire is to avoid any compulsory redundancies and work will be ongoing to identify alternative employment options through the Council's Managing Workforce Change policy. Although the majority of the workforce are female, there is no difference in how female employees are supported. All employees, regardless of their gender, will be supported with the same options and

			opportunities as specified in the 'Managing Workforce Change' policy.
<b>DISABILITY</b>			It is possible that some staff may have disabilities. We would ensure, through the 'Managing the Workforce Change' process that any disabilities were taken fully into account when considering alternative employment opportunities. This would include identifying any reasonable adjustments that would be required.
<b>ETHNICITY/ RACE</b>			
<b>SEXUAL ORIENTATION</b>			
<b>RELIGION/ BELIEF</b>			
<b>GENDER REASSIGNMENT</b>			
<b>MARRIAGE/CIVIL PARTNERSHIP</b>			
<b>PREGNANCY/ MATERNITY</b>			
<b>OTHER: CARERS OF OLDER AND/OR DISABLED PEOPLE</b> (Although carers are not considered as a PC in itself, they are protected by the Equality Act 2010 from "discrimination by association" with the PCs of age and disability)			It is possible that some of the staff affected are also unpaid carers. We would ensure, through the 'Managing the Workforce Change' process that their caring responsibilities were taken fully into account when considering alternative employment opportunities.

**1c. Does the proposal promote good relations between any of the Protected Characteristics?**

YES

NO

NOT SURE

Specify further (e.g., between which of the PCs, and in what way, or why not or not sure)

**1d. What steps will you take to collect the Equality Monitoring information needed to monitor impact of this proposal on PCs, and when will you do this?** Equality monitoring information is collected annually in line with the equalities mainstreaming outcomes and monitoring arrangements.

**Step 2**

Publish The Equality Impact Assessment.

**Where will the Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) be published?**

Angus Health and Social Care Partnership page on Angus Council website
--

**CONTACT INFORMATION**

<b>Name of Department or Partnership:</b>	<b>Angus Health and Social Care Partnership</b>
---	---

<b>Type of Document</b>	
Human Resource Policy	<input type="checkbox"/>
General Policy	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Change Papers/Local Procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>
Guidelines and Protocols	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please specify):	<input type="checkbox"/>

<b>Manager Responsible</b>	<b>Author Responsible</b>
<b>Name: George Bowie</b>	<b>Name: Jillian Richmond</b>
<b>Designation: Head of Community Health and Care Services</b>	<b>Designation: Service Leader, Accommodation and Home Care</b>
<b>Base: Angus House, Forfar</b>	<b>Base: Ravenswood, Forfar</b>
<b>Telephone 01307 491806</b>	<b>Telephone: 01307 492411</b>
<b>Email: BowieGS@angus.gov.uk</b>	<b>Email: RichmondJD@angus.gov.uk</b>

<b>Signature of author of the policy:</b>	<b>Date: 09/03/2022</b>
<b>Signature of Director/Head of Service:</b>	<b>Date: 09/03/2022</b>
<b>Name of Director/Head of Service: George Bowie</b>	
<b>Date of Next Plan Review: to be confirmed.</b>	

For additional information and advice please contact:

[tay.angushscp@nhs.scot](mailto:tay.angushscp@nhs.scot)